United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,606	12/08/2003	Patrick J. Sweeney	029815-0105	4015
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE			EXAMINER STEWART, ALVIN J	
			3738	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	/ PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	NTHS	01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/730,606	SWEENEY, PATRICK J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alvin J. Stewart	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	/are: a) $⊠$ accepted or b) $□$ objection about accepted or b) $□$ objection is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application Ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-17, 24-28, 30-32, 34 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al US Patent 5,858,020.

Johnson et al disclosed a body (16) having a central canal (18); extending there through; a head (24) coupled to the body; a first shaft (12) coupled to the body and extending through the central canal of the body. The shaft is capable of being interchanged after implantation of the prosthesis by removing the shaft and inserting a second shaft (112) without dislodging the body from the patient (lines 7-9 from the Applicant's claim discloses a wherein clause and have not been given patentable weight); wherein the shaft is coupled to the body via insertion of the shaft through an end of the central canal nearest an articular surface of the prosthesis (see Figures 1 and 4); wherein an insert (132, see Fig. 4) is coupled to the body and extending at least partially into the central canal (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 9, see Fig. 4.

Claims 1-3, 6-7, 11-14, 18, 24-28, 30, 33-34 and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muhlhausler et al US Patent 6,524,342 B1.

Muhlhausler et al disclosed a body (30) having a central canal (34); extending there through; a head (40) coupled to the body; a first shaft (10, see Fig. 2) coupled to the body and extending through the central canal of the body. The shaft is capable of being interchanged after implantation of the prosthesis by removing the shaft and inserting a second shaft (10, see Fig. 3) without dislodging the body from the patient (lines 7-9 from the Applicant's claim discloses a wherein clause and have not been given patentable weight); wherein the shaft is coupled to the body via insertion of the shaft through an end of the central canal nearest an articular surface of the prosthesis (see Figures 1-4); wherein an insert (20, see Fig. 3) is coupled to the body and extending at least partially into the central canal (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 36, see col. 4, lines 6-24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 35 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al US Patent 5,858,020 in view of Huebner US Pub. 2003/0149486 A1.

Johnson et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Johnson et al does not disclose a second replacement shaft having a shaft longer than the first shaft.

Huebner teaches an implant having a plurality of shafts for the purpose of creating an implant that is sized properly between a possible mid-shaft fracture of the patient's bone (see paragraphs 35 and 36).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shafts of the Johnson et al reference with the plurality of different shafts of the Huebner reference in order to create an implant that is sized properly between a possible mid-shaft fracture of the patient's bone.

Claims 4 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al US Patent 5,858,020 in view of Dong US Patent 5,282,865.

Johnson et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Johnson does not disclose a Morse taper lock.

Dong teaches an implant comprising a Morse taper lock connection between two structures for the purpose of locking the two structures together (see col. 3, lines 11-16).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Johnson et al connection with the Morse taper connection of the Dong reference in order to lock the two structure together.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-23 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

Application/Control Number: 10/730,606 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 3738

December 11, 2006.